Tropical forest characterization Using spatial and temporal patterns of optical remote sensing data

> Nicolas Barbier IRD – UMR AMAP

France



Mapping canopy & forest structure

• Field plots:

- Approached via indirect estimates (DBH);
- Limited representativity;
- Variation at different scales.
- Pixelwise/synchronous optical:
 - Saturation for high biomass levels (>250 t/ha, also for radar);
 - Permanent cloud/haze conditions
- Airborne LiDAR:
 - Cost/complexity

Spatial signatures

- Where is AGB mostly located in a rain forest?
 - trunks of larger individuals
- What is most likely to be correlated to wood stock?
 - crown sizes, tree heights.
 - NOT: fPAR, mean reflectance, etc.!!!
- Potential of VHR spaceborne imagery (Quickbird, Ikonos, etc.) but operational methodologies to be tested.

Temporal signatures

- Main forest gradients (in Africa) linked to canopy phenology variations (Schnell 1974)
- MODIS product (daily temporal resolution, 250m):
 - Promizing results in the Amazon for phenology quantification (Bradley, GCB 2011).
 - But what's captured? Leaf fall or leaf flush?

• Need to bridge the resolution gap (field \rightarrow MODIS)

SPATIAL SIGNATURES



Fourier periodograms: quantification of image texture









Textural ordination: principal axes of variation



FOTO analysis on aerial photographs in French Guiana

Couteron et al. J Appl Ecol (2005)

Relationships with forest parameters



Biomass prediction using Ikonos imagery in mangrove stands; French Guiana



Fondation Wiener Anspach

Barbier et al. GEB (2010)





| | VZ | SV | SZ | year |
|---|----------|----------|----------|------|
| 2 | 15.07558 | 162.8582 | 34.72709 | 2000 |
| 4 | 24.15956 | 42.2848 | 28.16477 | 2000 |
| 1 | 10.09665 | 92.1472 | 33.16828 | 2002 |
| 3 | 13.56898 | 120.1528 | 37.70374 | 2002 |
| 9 | 27.00608 | 7.2147 | 31.49029 | 2006 |
| 8 | 24.97276 | 45.9589 | 31.6663 | 2007 |
| 7 | 23.22279 | 119.7254 | 33.18998 | 2008 |
| 5 | 17.16577 | 87.9187 | 21.56988 | 2009 |
| 6 | 17.17448 | 14.2949 | 26.0551 | 2009 |

Issue 1: Instrumental effects



| 2 IL PROPERTY | | | A COLOR | BARD, BUSINESS |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|
| | VZ | SV | SZ | year |
| 2 | 15.07558 | 162.8582 | 34.72709 | 2000 |
| 4 | 24.15956 | 42.2848 | 28.16477 | 2000 |
| 1 | 10.09665 | 92.1472 | 33.16828 | 2002 |
| 3 | 13.56898 | 120.1528 | 37.70374 | 2002 |
| 9 | 27.00608 | 7.2147 | 31.49029 | 2006 |
| 8 | 24.97276 | 45.9589 | 31.6663 | 2007 |
| 7 | 23.22279 | 119.7254 | 33.18998 | 2008 |
| 5 | 17.16577 | 87.9187 | 21.56988 | 2009 |
| 6 | 17.17448 | 14.2949 | 26.0551 | 2009 |

Instrumental effects





LiDAR

Typical examples of extreme configurations using hillshade effects on LiDAR surface models

Barbier et al. RSE (2010)

INR



 $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{s-v} = 80^\circ; \, \boldsymbol{\theta}_V = 9^\circ; \, \boldsymbol{\theta}_S = 47^\circ$

 $\Phi_{s-v} = 80^{\circ}; \theta_{v} = 9^{\circ}; \theta_{s} = 71^{\circ}$



DART simulated BTF



DART simulated BRDF 180 Sun zenith = 30°



Issue 2: Structural variations

Photointerpretation of GeoEye Image (0.5 m)









TEMPORAL SIGNATURES





Figure 4. Maps and histograms (in %) of the seasonal phase shift between vegetation index (MODIS - EVI) and climate variables across Amazonia. (a, b) Seasonal phase shift with TRMM rainfall index. (c, d) Seasonal phase shift with GOES radiation (insolation) index. Areas in white are either non-forest or not significantly seasonal and/or correlated with the climate index.

(Bradley et al., GCB 2011)



Courtesy G. Viennois



Viennois et al. BGD 2013